

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/562,644	MATSUMOTO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shean C. Wu	1756	

All Participants:

(1) Shean C. Wu.

(2) Dr. Daniel Geselowitz.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 18 January 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
Claims discussed:

1, 3 and 15-17

Prior art documents discussed:


none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claim 1, line 2, insert "comprising liquid crystal compound" after "composition". Claims 15 and 17, line, insert "further" before "comprising" and claim 16, insert "having a structure comprising a pair of substates" after "element" on line 1 and delete line 2.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)